

Date and Time: Tuesday, May 16, 2023 11:35:00AM PDT

Job Number: 197214021

Document (1)

1. 2020 was a terrible year for climate disasters, but there are reasons for hope in 2021

Client/Matter: -None-

Search Terms: "doom" and ("climate" or "eco")

Search Type: Terms and Connectors

Narrowed by:

Content Type Narrowed by

News Sources: National Post (f/k/a The Financial Post)(Canada); Timeline: Jan 01, 2018 to May 15, 2023; All Content Types:

News; Geography by Document: North America;

Geography by Document: Canada

2020 was a terrible year for climate disasters, but there are reasons for hope in 2021

National Post (f/k/a The Financial Post) (Canada)

January 6, 2021 Wednesday

Web Edition

Copyright 2021 National Post All Rights Reserved

Section: PMN NEWS Length: 1216 words

Body

THE CONVERSATION

This article was originally published on The Conversation, an independent and nonprofit source of news, analysis and commentary from academic experts. Disclosure information is available on the original site.

--

Author: Matthew Hoffmann, Professor of Political Science and Co-Director Environmental Governance Lab, University of Toronto

Climate disasters started early in 2020 - and kept on coming.

The catastrophic fires in Australia in early 2020 were actually a holdover from 2019, but they were soon followed by flooding in Indonesia, a super-cyclone hitting the coast of India and Bangladesh and then more flooding, this time in Kenya and wide swaths of Central and West Africa.

Next came the record-breaking fires in the Brazilian Amazon, South America's pantanal wetlands, California and Colorado, followed by a historic hurricane season in the Atlantic, including two apocalyptic hurricanes in Nicaragua and Honduras.

With terrible symmetry, 2020 ended with bushfires consuming more than half of K'gari, a World Heritage site and island off the coast of Queensland, Australia.

A popular refrain on social media notes that while 2020 was among the hottest on record and one of the worst years for *climate* disasters, it is also likely to be among the coolest and calmest for years to come. During a speech at Columbia University in December, UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres put it bluntly: "The state of the planet is broken."

But now is not the time for despair.

Hope is found in uncertainty

All this bad <u>climate</u> news has the potential to generate <u>climate</u> despair, numbing those watching the next tragedy unfold.

<u>Climate</u> despair is a growing phenomena, noted in the popular media and in academic research in public health, education, ethics and philosophy. Psychologists even coined the term "solastalgia" to denote distress caused by

2020 was a terrible year for climate disasters, but there are reasons for hope in 2021

environmental damage and loss. <u>Climate</u> despair is feeling with certainty that "we're screwed," that the worst impacts of **climate** change are inevitable and can no longer be stopped.

Despair feels reasonable given what we're learning about <u>climate</u> change and seeing in the news. But it is a temptation that should be resisted.

Rebecca Solnit argues that hope is found in uncertainty - that the future is not set. Even given torrents of bad news, there are a number of reasons for hope. And 2020 could indeed be the turning point.

It has to be.

Science, politics and hope

To be clear, *climate* despair does not square with current scientific understandings. We are in trouble, not screwed.

Actions taken now and in the next decade, individually and collectively, can make a difference. The news on <u>climate</u> impacts and <u>climate</u> science may feel like a march of <u>doom</u>, but <u>climate</u> scientists argue that it's not too late to act and there is uncertainty in the extent of <u>climate</u> impacts we have guaranteed ourselves. We have not reached the point of no return.

In some ways, <u>climate</u> despair is the new <u>climate</u> denial, dulling the sense of urgency and blunting the momentum for action. It is a discourse that paralyzes when paralysis is what we can least afford. The discourse of despair strengthens the grip of the status quo and can be a self-fulfilling prophecy.

So hope is good science, and that's good for politics. Opportunities to expand the space of uncertainty at the root of hope are right in front of us. While the *climate* impacts have been terrible in 2020, there has never been as much momentum for political action on *climate* change as there is now:

The first truly global social movement dedicated to <u>climate</u> action and <u>climate</u> justice has gained in size and strength, beginning with Greta Thunberg's Fridays for the Future and spreading to the Sunrise movement in the U.S. and <u>climate</u> justice movements around the world.

Large-scale capital continues to flee from fossil fuel investments, which are rapidly losing value. According to a recent study by political scientists Jeff Colgan, Jessica Green and Thomas Hale, this shifting financial ground promises to upend the politics of *climate* change in important ways, as vested interests lose political power.

The initial pandemic response demonstrated how societies and economies can pivot very quickly in response to an emergency. The longer-term plans for post-pandemic recovery provide an enormous window of opportunity to "build back better," although this idea does not have universal uptake.

The Paris Agreement survived the withdrawal of the U.S., which is poised to rejoin after Joe Biden is sworn in as president. Momentum around the agreement was clear at the *Climate* Ambition Summit where 75 countries announced new national commitments

The ranks of countries that have made net-zero commitments is swelling and a new report suggests that the cumulative effect of countries' recent pledges (if fully achieved) could keep warming to 2.1 C by 2100, putting a key Paris Agreement goal within reach.

These trends aren't a guarantee that we have turned the political corner. The forces arrayed against the kind of changes we need are vast and powerful. It will take an enormous amount of energy, resources and action for these promising trends to fulfil their potential and turn the tide of *climate* change.

But they can disrupt the status quo. They can create space for catalytic action. They can enhance the uncertainty that keeps despair at bay. They provide hope.

Reject despair

2020 was a terrible year for climate disasters, but there are reasons for hope in 2021

This motivating hope, or what political scientist Thomas Homer-Dixon calls commanding hope, is not just scientifically valid and politically astute, it is the only viable moral choice.

The iron law of <u>climate</u> change is that those least responsible for causing the problem face the worst consequences. The opposite is true as well - those most responsible for causing <u>climate</u> change tend to be the safest from it. According to Oxfam, the richest one per cent of the population globally "are responsible for more than twice as much carbon pollution as the 3.1 billion people who made up the poorest half of humanity."

Too many people and communities do not have the luxury of saying "isn't that a shame, too bad we can't do anything" about *climate* change. They aren't safe, and it's not their fault.

Rejecting despair, embracing the uncertainty of hope, is the least that individuals, communities and societies that are relatively safe from *climate* change owe vulnerable communities.

With 2020 left behind, there is hope in facing the <u>climate</u> crisis, for movement toward a just transition to an equitable low-carbon world. Seeing that hope fulfilled in 2021 and beyond means summoning courage, joy and sometimes even rage, fiercely clinging to and expanding the uncertainty of the future.

Most importantly, 2021 needs to be the year known for acting, individually and collectively, with the urgency and scale the *climate* crisis demands.

_

Matthew Hoffmann receives funding from Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada. He is affiliated with Green Economy Canada.

This article is republished from The Conversation under a Creative Commons license. Disclosure information is available on the original site. Read the original article:

https://theconversation.com/2020-was-a-terrible-year-for-climate-dis https://theconversation.com/2020-was-a-terrib

Classification

Language: ENGLISH

Publication-Type: Newspaper

Subject: HURRICANES (90%); NEGATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL NEWS (90%); SEVERE WEATHER (90%); WEATHER (90%); CLIMATE CHANGE (89%); CLIMATOLOGY (89%); EARTH & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE (89%); SOCIAL SCIENCE EDUCATION (89%); ETHICS (78%); HUMANITIES & SOCIAL SCIENCE (78%); WRITERS (78%); ACCIDENTS & DISASTERS (77%); AUSTRALIAN BUSHFIRES (77%); ELECTIONS & POLITICS (77%); ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES (77%); ENVIRONMENTAL ACCIDENTS & DISASTERS (77%); HISTORIC SITES (77%); ISLANDS & REEFS (77%); POLITICAL SCIENCE (77%); POLLUTION & ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (77%); WETLANDS (77%); WILDFIRES (77%); TROPICAL STORMS (75%); HEALTH EDUCATION (73%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (72%); PSYCHOLOGY (72%); FIRES (71%); CUSTOMS & CULTURAL HERITAGE (68%); UNITED NATIONS (65%); EDUCATION RESEARCH (63%); conversation, article, originally, published, independent, nonprofit !@PERMALINK= https://nationalpost.com/pmn/news-pmn/2020-was-a-terrible-year-for-climate-disasters-but-there-are-reasons-for-hope-in-2021 (%)

Industry: WRITERS (78%); HISTORIC SITES (77%); COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS (72%); PSYCHOLOGY (72%)

Person: ANTONIO GUTERRES (69%)

Geographic: TORONTO, ON, CANADA (78%); CALIFORNIA, USA (79%); COLORADO, USA (79%); QUEENSLAND, AUSTRALIA (79%); ONTARIO, CANADA (58%); AUSTRALIA (92%); CANADA (91%); AFRICA (79%); BRAZIL (79%); HONDURAS (79%); INDIA (79%); INDONESIA (79%); NICARAGUA (79%); SOUTH AMERICA (79%); KENYA (78%)

Load-Date: January 6, 2021

End of Document